

of Texas Archeologists

Newsletter

Volume 26, Number 1 January 2002

2002 CTA SPRING MEETING

Date and Time To Be Announced

Presidents' Forum

David O. Brown

At the fall meeting, Steve Black requested that the CTA help fund the Texas Beyond History project that is being sponsored jointly by the Texas Archeological Research Lab and the Texas Archeological Society. This request was considered amenably by the membership. The project was given \$2000 that was unused from the 2001 budget and promised \$2000 from the 2002 budget. The unanimous support of the membership for this decision reflects the positive support that this project has had within the archaeological community. The web site has been equally well received amongst the broader public since it was launched this past year. Begun with a grant from the Houston Endowment through the Texas Archeological Society, the Texas Beyond History project has, in addition to the CTA funding, garnered funds from the Texas Historical Foundation, the Lende Foundation, the Texas Preservation Trust Fund of the Texas Historical Commission, and the Texas Council for the Humanities. In a recent article (12 Dec 2001), the Dallas Morning News published a nice story highlighting the site in its Texas Living section. If there is anyone out there among this newsletter's readers who has not yet visited the Texas Beyond History web site (www.texasbeyondhistory.net), you're missing an excellent example of what an archaeological web site ought to be. The TBH web site presents exhibits on a wide range of archaeological sites across the state as well as other miscellaneous contributions including sections for kids and teacher resources. The CTA congratulates Steve, Susan Dial, and all the web site's developers, and applauds the various supporters who have helped bring this unique effort to fruition.

While more than a dozen of the 20 sites and cultures areas featured on the TBH web site

In this issue
Presidents' Forum1
Officer's Reports2
Committee Reports:
Public Education3
Government Affairs3
Multicultural Relations4
Web Page4
ARC5
Survey Standards5
Other CTA Business:
Minutes of 2001 Fall Meeting7
Articles:
The CTA 60 Years Ago12
CTA Newsletter No. 1 (1940)13
Announcements24

were studied with extensive public funding, only three of the sites (Guy Town, Camp Ford, and Ruben Hancock) are from recent CRM projects. More exhibits will soon be added that may change this balance, but there are certainly lots of fine CRM projects out there that deserve showcasing on the TBH site. At the fall meeting, Steve made a request for help from the CTA community to work with him to develop exhibits and I want to echo that request and encourage archaeological contractors to contribute. Every contract firm in the state has at least one site or project that could be showcased and some have dozens. Of course, Steve needs more than just sending in the name of a site. He needs you to partner with the TBH project, helping with research, scanned photos, and cash assistance to help develop potential new exhibits.

Getting the message of archaeology out to the public has long been a mission of the CTA. Our bylaws state that one of our goals is "the promotion and dissemination of information which enhances public awareness of the limited and non-renewable nature of our cultural resources." This goal is clearly met by the TBH web site, and it was this goal to heighten public awareness of archaeology and in particular to encourage CRM contractors to engage in efforts to educate and enlighten the general public about what archaeology is and what we do that led us to create the E. Mott Davis Award for Excellence in Public Outreach which we awarded last year for the first time to the various groups who participated in the Freedman's Cemetery project in Dallas. This year we are looking for another worthy recipient for the second annual award. Nomination forms are available on the CTA web site and will be accepted through the end of January. Completed forms should be sent to the new Public Education Committee chair, Dana Anthony, at <u>danthony@swtexas.net</u>, or P.O. Box 700, Utopia, TX 78884 if you prefer the old fashioned ways of communicating. If you have a project you think may qualify but aren't sure, get hold of Dana or anyone on the education committee to discuss it (see the web site for a list of committee members).

As those of you who were there already know, our fall meeting was productive. We elected Clell Bond to fill the vacant President Elect slot

and Steve Carpenter to the vacant newsletter editor position. Clell will take over as President in the spring while Steve is filling the final months of Marybeth Tomka's term. His position will end in the spring but we hope we can convince him to stay on for another term.

As most of you know by now, this coming year marks our 25th anniversary. We hope to celebrate this anniversary at the spring meeting. Unfortunately, the events of this past September 11th have complicated our getting access to our previous meeting location; we are still not sure whether it will be possible to hold the meeting at Camp Mabry as we have the past two years. We're working on arrangements for the meeting as of this writing. Current plans are to hold it one of the first two weekends in April depending on location and availability. Hopefully that won't conflict with any scheduled events. We'll get the news out to you via email and on the web site as soon as we have a place and a date and it will be announced in another newsletter that should come out in early March.

Officer's Reports

Clell Bond President Elect

As the CTA approaches its 25th birthday it's easy to recognize and be proud of our successes. Clearly we've had an influence on some of the policies and agencies that govern our professional lives as Texas archeologists. We've developed professional standards of field research, reporting and curation. We've also provided awards recognizing special achievements in cultural resource management and have developed a program to assist students of archeology.

The success of most professional organizations is often the result of the hard work and diligence of those members who are willing and able to dedicate themselves to the goals of that organization. In large part the accomplishments of the CTA are the results of the dedicated members who have served as officers and on

committees. As the president elect of the CTA, I eagerly look forward to working with our committees and serving the membership to meet our goals and objectives.

Although we've had some successes over the years we still have a long way to go in some areas. One continuing problem has been the effort to broaden our membership base. Our membership total has remained fairly constant over these years. We see many of the same, but slightly older, faces at each spring and fall meeting. Although we have given lip service to students with the development of the scholarship fund, we have not really provided much in the way of a substantive reason why they should actively participate in CTA. Even worse is our relationship with the many archeological technicians that are employed in our currently very vigorous cultural resource market place. CTA needs to be a mentor to these people, to demonstrate that they have a place and a voice in Texas archeology. I think we can do better. I like a challenge and offer my promise to do my best to meet the challenges that face the CTA.



Missi Green SECRETARY-TREASURER

Happy New Year to all! It is now time to renew your dues for an exciting year — 2002! This year is the 25th Anniversary of CTA. Be a voice in the direction, continued growth, and development of the organization as well as the celebration! Be a part of history in the making! Remember that the period of membership is from January to December. Pay now! Don't wait! You might miss some of the exciting things to come!

If you have any changes to your information — new e-mail, new address, new employer — please let me know when you pay your dues. An updated e-mail address is essential for CTA to contact you for any information that might be vital, including the latest newsletter and any changes in the archeological working community.



Steve Carpenter NEWSLETTER EDITOR

I would like to thank the CTA members for allowing me to stand in as editor for the remainder of the current term. The learning process has been interesting and the contributors are greatly appreciated for their help. Navigating through the holidays was a little tricky.

Concerning one editorial note, the current issue contains Doug Boyd's report on the original CTA established in 1939. Included with his report is the first of two issues of the organization's first newsletter *Texas Archaeological News*, which Doug acquired courtesy of Dr. T. N. Campbell. The second and final original newsletter will be presented in the spring issue.

Committee Reports

Dana Anthony, Chair PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMITTEE

The public education committee met in Corpus Christi at the TAS meeting. We discussed the E. Mott Davis Award for public education and the need to keep such recognition and encouragement alive. We are currently accepting nominations for the 2002 award. Nomination forms may be accessed through the CTA web page. As with the first award last year, the nominees should be efforts related to public education conducted under the auspices of CRM projects. Nominations should be sent to the committee chair, Dana Anthony (danthony@swtexas.net) by no later than the end of January 2002. Other issues briefly discussed at the fall meeting included reminding the membership of the need for archaeologists to submit short articles to various teachers journal as an ongoing public outreach effort. The guidelines for publishing in these journals will soon be posted on the CTA web site under the Public Education Committee section. Following the meeting, several of us have continued exchanging ideas via email and another important educational topic has been emphasized again - the Boy Scout merit badge program. This is clearly an important program and we will look into establishing any relevant website links and encouraging members to serve as counselors for this merit badge. Any members with concerns or ideas about public education should please contact any of the committee members (they are listed on the CTA web site).

Eric Schroeder, Chair GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

The major issue for this volume of the newsletter involves museum accreditation. Recently the Texas Historical Commission was advised that it would not be feasible for the THC to implement the CTA accreditation procedures because of the legalities involved in their enforcement. The conclusion was that the THC will have to develop its own rules regarding the accreditation procedure and pass them through the next legislative session scheduled for January 2003. In the interim the THC staff will develop draft procedures patterned after those developed by the CTA, which will be compiled into a separate Chapter of the Antiquities Code. The draft Chapter will be made available for comment at the Spring CTA meeting, and following a 30-day comment period, will be voted on during the June or July Antiquities Advisory Board meeting. The intent here is twofold: (1) to get a set of procedures that are enforceable under the Code, and (2) get appropriations from the legislature for their implementation. As it stands it is going to be difficult to have a working draft of the accreditation procedures online by the current deadline of December 31, 2002, but executive guidance at the THC is reluctant to change the deadline at this time.

In addition to a draft Chapter for the accreditation rules, we should also be prepared to see a new draft of Chapter 26 during our Spring meeting. Since the THC will be pursuing a separate Chapter for the accreditation rules, the current 3D policy will be extracted from Chapter 26. This also provides an opportunity to replace antiquated terms such as "DAP" and

"Committee" with those that are currently in vogue. Moreover, in an effort to better conform the rules to the current Section 106 regulations regarding historic architectural issues, we should also expect new language along these lines in the new Chapter 26 draft. All in all it looks as though it is going to be an interesting year for Historic Preservation in Texas, and we urge you to get involved by making your opinions known.

(b)(b)(b)

Alston V. Thoms, Co-Chair MULTICULTURAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE

For several years now, Dorothy Lippert and I have co-chaired this committee. We focused most of the committee's efforts on working with CTA archaeologists, American Indian groups, and others to achieve passage of graves protection legislation in Texas. Dorothy Lippert is no longer in Texas, she now works at the Smithsonian Institution on Indian-related issues. Although I am still working in Texas and as committed as ever to this committee's stated objectives, it is time for me as well to step down as co-chair.

We are very pleased to announce that Bob Skiles, who is currently a member of the Multicultural Relations Committee, has agreed to take on the chairperson role. CTA's current President, David Brown, promises to make it so at the spring meeting. Most of you already know that Mr. Skiles is an unabashed advocate for better improved multicultural and multiethnic relationships, and a strong supporter of graves-protection legislation in Texas.

(3)(3)(3)

Sue Linder-Linsley, Chair CTA WEB PAGE COMMITTEE

Since the CTA meeting in Corpus Christi the web page has gone through a lot of changes. The Nomination form for the E. Mott Davis

Award for Excellence in Public Outreach has been added. Currently the nomination form has a temporary link from the main web page but the form is also available from the Document Archive page. I sent Steve Black CTA information for the Texas Beyond History web site but at the time of this report do not have the URL for our page on their site.

I spent several days editing andredoing the last newsletter (Vol.25 No. 3) for distribution after we lost our newsletter editor. In addition, I provided editorial assistance to our current newsletter editor Steve Carpenter for this issue and did the layout and typesetting before producing the final pdf file. I would like to thank Steve for taking over the duties of newsletter editor and doing all the time consuming activities of getting articles and information together. As chair of the web page committee I will continue to assist the newsletter editor with technical and editorial issues when needed.

The contractors list web pages and pdf document have gone through several major revisions since the CTA meeting. There was a major discrepancy between the number of contractors on the list and the number that the Secretary Treasurer showed as paid for 2001. We deactivated the links to firm descriptions for those contractors who have not paid their 2001 listing fee. The Contractor List Committee Chair is in the process of requesting payment and verification of all the information on the List. In January 2002 the web will be updated to show only those contractors paid for 2002.

An organization that is the size of the CTA always has someone changing his or her address, phone number, fax number, or e-mail address. While we all try to keep up with the changes things have fallen through the cracks in recent years. In order to simplify the process we have implemented a new way to communicate membership information, address, phone, e-mail updates, etc. We have created two new e-mail addresses for communicating changes and problems. The first of these is for general CTA members. CTA members should send their changes and updates to: <u>cta-members@c-tx-arch.org</u>. This address automatically sends the message to Board and Committee members who need to keep their files up to date. All messages involving your CTA membership status can be sent to this address. The second address is for CRD's and Contractors. All updates, changes, questions or problems involving the Contractors List should be sent to: cta-contractor@c-tx-arch.org. It is our hope that by keeping everyone in the loop when a change is reported these changes will be implemented in a timelier manner.

Coming in 2002 — Password protected access to the current issue of the CTA Newsletter. CTA Dues and Contractors List fees are on a calendar year of Jan 1 to Dec 31. Remember to pay your dues so that you can get the next newsletter before the spring meeting. The Spring 2002 Meeting will be our 25th Anniversary. Check the CTA web page for meeting date and special announcements as plans are finalized.



Patricia A.Claybaugh, Chair ACCREDITATION AND REVIEW COUNCIL

Current News

On November 9, 2001, the Accreditation and Review Council (ARC) completed its deliberations on the Corpus Christi Museum of Science and History (CCMSH). The Council is pleased to announce that this museum is now recognized by CTA ARC as an Accredited Archeological Repository in the State of Texas. ARC distinguishes this institution as committed to the preservation of cultural heritage for Texas by using and cultivating accredible curatorial standards in policy, practice, and procedure.

Once again, this program could not be possible without its volunteer field reviewers. We would like to thank Ms. Deb Dandridge and Ms. Amy Ringstaff for their attention to state curation. We appreciated their willingness to finish the reporting phase of the review in a timely and organized fashion. This team went above and beyond the field review and provided the Council with valuable feedback about their experience. Their evaluation and many

suggestions have allowed us to continue to improve and simplify the accreditation process. Congratulations on a job well done.

For those of you who have not visited the Corpus Christi Museum of Science and History be sure to take the opportunity next time you are in the area. This museum collects and preserves natural and cultural history objects with an emphasis on South Texas. It offers educational exhibits and programs related to its collections, and has earned its reputation as marine archeology repository conservation laboratory for the state of Texas. On behalf of CTA, the Accreditation and Review Council would like to take this opportunity to thank Mr. Rick Stryker, CCMSH Director, and his staff for their professionalism and approachability during the ARC review.

The Saga of State Accreditation

By now, those of you who are keeping up with statewide curation issues have probably heard about the Texas Historical Commission's plan to develop its own state accreditation program. That is correct. The reason being, according to the THC by way of the Attorney General Office counsel, the state cannot implement non-state policy and procedures and must create their own rules. In this case, to accredit institutions as state recognized Archaeological State Repositories (AARs) in order to continue to State-Associated Held-in-Trust archaeological collections. According to THC, adopting the CTA Accreditation and Review Council guidelines and procedures for accreditation are simply not, and were never, a legitimate option.

On Wednesday, December 12, 2001, members of the THC Collections Management Policy Committee convened in Austin to: a) discuss the fiduciary responsibility of THC to care for state-associated held-in-trust collections (archaeological and non-archaeological); and b) consider a draft accreditation program document. Several CTA members, members of the archaeological community, and other interested parties were also present to learn more about these new developments, ask questions, and voice their concerns during the Public Comment period.

Eileen Johnson, Antiquities Advisory Chair, presented a draft policy for the Texas Historical Commission Curatorial Facility Accreditation Program. Several options were discussed on how to implement this new accreditation program. There was a consensus that the THC program should be modeled after the ARC process and tailor ARC concepts to THC needs. Rightly so, this would afford a continued working partnership between the THC and CTA on state accreditation and curation issues.

The THC Collections Management Committee (CMC) distributed a proposed a time line (2002) for developing and implementing the THC Curatorial Facility Accreditation Program:

- February CMC working session to discuss preliminary accreditation policy and draft rules
- April 18 Adoption of accreditation policy and proposed rules by the Commission for first publication in the Texas Register
- July 26 Adoption of the proposed rules
- September THC staff in place to implement the accreditation program

The THC Curatorial Facility Accreditation Program has many consequences, especially for those institutions who have already gone through ARC accreditation, those that have applied, or are planning to apply for ARC accreditation. This puts the ARC program in a very awkward position. The question arises, why continue to offer our services if THC will soon require its own accreditation certification? According to THC, those institutions who are ARC accredited by the January 2003 deadline will still have to go through the THC Curatorial Facility Accreditation Program. However, in doing so, there are plans to shorten the THC process for those cases although the details have not yet been worked out. Suffice it to say that ARC accreditation will likely expedite the THC process, however, at this time there is no guarantee. The bottom line is that it is not feasible for most institutions seeking accreditation to spend twice as much time and money to go through essentially the same accreditation process. In fact, as one reads the draft policy for the Texas Historical Commission Curatorial Facility Accreditation Program, it is clearly modeled after the ARC program.

At this stage, ARC believes that it is in the best position to advise THC on the state accreditation process. In the interest of everyone concerned, this would help mitigate many of the pitfalls we have encountered along the way. The ARC documents have gone through major improvements since we began offering our services. Over the years we have turned the original working documents into a kinder, gentler accreditation process. These documents are at the final stages of completion and will tentatively be ready for distribution sometime this spring/summer. As a service to Texas, ARC would be willing to share the improved documents with THC to ensure that their new program has every chance of success.

The ARC members will be meeting sometime before the next CMC working session in February (TBA) to discuss the proposed Texas Historical Commission Curatorial Facility Accreditation Program and the future of ARC as a functioning CTA committee. If, in fact, we are no longer tasked with accrediting

institutions that house archaeological collections, we need to re-evaluate our mission. At the very least, we need to revise our documents to reflect a new mission, or simply dissolve altogether and possibly transform this committee to accomplish a different collections and curation focus. The Accreditation and Review Council would like to hear from the CTA membership on this issue as it affects all of us in this profession. Everyone will have an opportunity to discuss these important developments at the Spring business meeting in April.

(**3**)(**3**)(**3**)

Marianne Marek, Chair SURVEY STANDARDS COMMITTEE

At the fall CTA meeting, members discussed various changes to the survey standards. These changes are incorporated in the Final Draft published here on pages 8 and 9.



ARCHEOLOGICAL SURVEY STANDARDS FOR TEXAS Final Draft (October 21, 2001)

These survey standards identify the <u>minimum</u> amount of work considered acceptable for intensive archeological surveys of 200 acres or less. These standards are not intended to limit additional work (i.e. more shovel tests or backhoe trenches) that may be deemed necessary to identify archeological sites on the basis of the Area of Potential Effect, anticipated imp·Kts, or the likelihood of encountering significant cultural resources. Survey methodologies for project areas larger than 200 acres should be discussed with the Texas Historical Commission Archeology Division prior to implementing the survey.

- 1. Professional Qualifications: Archeological investigations must be supervised by a Principal Investigator that meets the U.S. Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards (48FR 22716 or 36CFR Part 61); or meets requirements as outlined in Title 13, Part II, of the Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 26.
- 2. Archeologists shall adhere to guidelines provided in Title 13, Part II, of the Texas Administrative Code Chapters 24 and 26 and the Council of Texas Archeologists Guidelines for Performance, Curation, and Reports.
- 3. Background Research: Archeologists must conduct a background literature search prior to field investigations. At a minimum this shall include searches of the Texas Historical Commission and the Texas Archeological Research Laboratory (TARL) records or the equivalent Texas Archeological Sites Atlas Database for previously recorded archeological sites and historic properties, and previous archeological work in the vicinity.
- **4.** Projects crossing navigable state or federal waters may require an underwater survey to locate *submerged archeological sites*.
- **5.** Archeologists must assess the *potential for deeply buried cultural deposits* within the area of potential effect prior to starting field investigations. At a minimum this shall include a review of the USDA soil surveys and geologic maps. If there is a potential for <u>deeply</u> buried cultural deposits within the depth of impacts, deeper subsurface investigations (such as backhoe trenches, or other acceptable method) will be required.

6. Fieldwork

MINIMUM SURVEY STANDARDS For Project Areas of 200 Acres or Less

Transect Interval

Linear Projects

Not greater than 30 meters

Shovel Tests^{1,2}

Project Area Size <u>Shovel test density</u>

0-2 acres 3 per acre >2-10 acres 2 per acre >11-100 acres 1 every 2 acres >101-200 acres 1 every 3 acres <100' (30 m) wide corridor 16 per mile

Number of Shovel Tests required to define site boundaries minimum 6 — more for larger sites

Average Rate of Survey (per person/per day)

Non-linear surveys: 15 acres Linear surveys: 1-3 miles

 $^{^1}shovel\ tests\ are\ excavated\ in\ settings\ that\ have\ a\ probability\ for\ buried\ cultural\ materials\ and\ whenever\ vegetation\ obscures\ visibility,\ except\ on\ slopes\ greater\ than\ 20\%$

 $^{^2}$ shovel tests are 30 cm in diameter or on a side; excavated to the bottom of Holocene deposits, if possible. They are dug in levels no thicker than 20 cm; and sediments screened through 1/4 inch mesh unless high clay or water content requires that they be troweled through

- 7. Site Forms: Texas Archeological Site Data Forms must be completed for all archeological sites revisited or discovered during survey. These forms are submitted in TXSITE database and paper forms to the Texas Archeological Research Laboratory, University of Texas at Austin.
- 8. Project Report: Archeologists are required to submit the results of their investigations in a report to the THC. The THC will normally complete its review within 30 days. Comments from the THC must be addressed and 20 copies of the final report are submitted to the THC.
- 9. Curation. Archeological field notes, photographs, and artifacts must be submitted to an accredited state repository for permanent curation as stipulated in Chapter 26 and the Council of Texas Archeologists guidelines.



CTA Fall Meeting Minutes 26 October 2001 — 1:30 pm

The Fall meeting of CTA, held in conjunction with the annual Texas Archeological Society meeting in Corpus Christi, was called to order by President David O. Brown at 1:30 pm. Noting that time was limited, David welcomed everyone (it was a very small group) and immediately asked for the motion to approve the minutes of the spring meeting. The motion was accepted, seconded, and passed.

David, realizing that the group was small, indicated that a quorum (25 members) was needed to vote on several items. These items were electing a new President and Newsletter Editor, as well as passing the proposed 2002 budget. The quorum was met and those items were voted on prior to 3:00 and the beginning of other meetings.

Next, David noted that the Antiquities Advisory Board meeting was held on the 25th of October, but he had no news from the meeting. Also, there has been little activity in the committees, although he hopes that he will meet with several during the TAS weekend. He reminded the membership that the 2002 Spring meeting will be celebrating the 25th Anniversary of CTA and encouraged all to plan to be there.

Secretary/Treasurer Missi Green reported that 2001 had been a slow year in membership and contractors' listings, but that CTA is doing well financially. Memberships were down as were the number of paid contractors as compared with the previous year. Although the revenues were down, CTA maintained a comfortable financial statement due to fewer expenses

through use the Web. Having the newsletter and contractors' list posted on the Web page means no printing costs and minimal mailing costs. Missi also hopes to be contacting members between now and the first of the year about delinquent dues and have a better 2002 response.

Committee Reports

Governmental Affairs: No representative was in attendance. Nothing new was reported, however, Chair Eric Schroeder is looking for volunteers to help.

Contractors' List: No representative was in attendance. Review of contractors' information or addresses is currently underway by the committee. CTA Web Page Committee Chair Sue Linder-Linsley stated that the number of hits continues to go up: 56 in June, 57 in July, 98 in August, no information for September, and 105 in October.

Public Education: With Karen Harry's move to Las Vegas, there is currently no chair. David complimented Karen's job as chair and the committee's presentations of last spring. The committee is under reorganization for next year.

Multicultural Relations: No representative was in attendance, however, there is a good discussion by Alston in the current newsletter. David stated that Texas Native Americans and other supporters in the state are forming a new organization to support multicultural and other activities. Alston is the CTA representative as well as a Board of Directors member. CTA needs

to continue to support Native Americans in the prevention of looting and desecration of cemeteries.

Web Page: Chair Sue Linder-Linsley noted that the number of hits has stayed consistent, although the newsletter was downloaded 182 times. So far, there have only been 18 hits on the survey standards. The committee is discussing the issue of paid dues and whether a message should alert a member of their status when they try to download the newsletter. Or should paid members only have access to the newsletter with this serving as a reminder to members to pay their dues. Also, the committee is looking for ways to better the communication for any changes that are made before documents, announcements, etc. hit the Web.

Membership: Chair Karl Kibler announced that rules and guidelines for scholarships were in place. So far there have been no applications sent nor any requests for information concerning the CTA scholarship even though it had been announced on TX Arch-L and in the TAS newsletter. The committee may contact different university departments around the state to make sure students know about the scholarship opportunities, get more aggressive about getting the information to students.

Accreditation and Review Council: representative was in attendance. David noted that it had been a complicated year for ARC and that the new THC rules implementation has made things more difficult. Discussions continue with the THC. Jim Bruseth mentioned that the THC lawyer says THC can not use the ARC accreditation process and will have to come up with their own, independent process of review — one that will approve repositories for holding collections. THC cannot delegate the review process to another organization. Although THC cannot use ARC's process, THC does still want CTA to have a role. He noted that the standards are sound and that he would hate to see the hard work of ARC as a loss wants CTA and THC to work together.

Survey Standards: Chair Marianne Marek reported that the draft standards were complete and could be found on the Web site as well as in the newsletter. To date there have been no new comments.

Anti-Looting: No representative was in attendance, however, Chair Todd McMakin does hope to get things moving this winter.

Ad Hoc Guidelines: No new information.

Auditing Committee: This committee, comprised of Alan Skinner, Sue Linder-Linsley, and Rebecca Procter, was set up to audit the CTA books for the fiscal year of 2000. This committee met with Secretary-Treasurer Missi Green in April and reviewed the books and files. Alan Skinner reported that all financial records balanced.

Curation Task Force: No new information.

New Business

Several items of New Business were discussed: emergency election of the President Elect and Newsletter Editor, the 2002 operating budget, placing a new Nominating Committee and Anniversary Celebration and History Committees, and an Archeological Conservancy request.

Steve Black, Chairman of the Nominating Committee, introduced the three candidates for the position of President Elect: Clell Bond, James Karbula, and Kevin Miller. This position will be held for a 3.5 year term. Clell Bond is employed by PBS&J, James Karbula is employed by Hicks & Associates, and Kevin Miller is employed by SWCA, Inc., all in Austin. Kevin was not in attendance, but the other two candidates gave brief introductions of themselves and spoke of the goals they had for CTA. Both candidates expressed enthusiasm for their vision of CTA and what direction it should go in the future.

After their brief statements, Steve Black asked if there were other nominations from the floor—there were none and a vote was taken. A runoff was necessary before Clell Bond was announced as the new President Elect. Congratulations to Clell! Thank you to both James and Kevin for being such willing candidates.

Steve next asked for nominations for Newsletter Editor, the position vacated by Marybeth Tomka earlier this fall and needs to be filled for the remainder of this term. Steve Carpenter of the GLO was nominated prior to the meeting. No other names were put forth during the meeting and Steve was easily voted into the position. Congratulations to Steve!

Steve also thanked Aina Dodge for doing such a great job at getting nominations for all the open positions.

The operating budget for 2002 was presented, along with the 2001 expenditures, to the membership for approval. Prior to voting, a request was made by Steve Black in support of Texas Beyond History website. The request was for CTA to become a sponsor of the website, which is a partnership between TAS and TARL and all archeologists in the state. CTA's sponsorship (donation) of the website, which places meaningful CRM results on the Web for pubic benefit, would be used in the production of the website. Steve informed the membership that TAS put up most of the start-up monies and TARL supplied oversight and technical support. There are 20 sites exhibits currently and the goal is to make it 200 exhibits by 2005. CTA's donation of \$2000 would be a major benefit to the website.

A short discussion followed the request. Ron Ralph asked if this was an on-going request. Steve replied that the asking was for 2001 only and that CTA would be listed as a major sponsor with a link to the CTA Web page. Margaret Howard noted that the funds could come from the surplus and made the motion that CTA put \$2000 in the 2001 and 2002 budget. The motion was seconded by Doug Boyd. Mike Quigg stipulated that CTA will be linked and cited as a sponsor. Steve asked that CTA provide the text and Texas Beyond History will provide the link. Margaret accepted the amendment to her original motion, it was voted upon, and passed as amended.

Volunteers for a new Nominating Committee were requested. Those who bravely volunteered were Doug Boyd, Meg Cruse, Logan McNatt, Ron Ralph, and Chris Ringstaff. A Chair will be appointed at a later date.

A request for the Spring Anniversary Celebration Committee was made. The current CTA Entertainment Committee will take on the task. Those members of the committee are Dana Anthony, Mark Denton, Aina Dodge, Steve Black, and Amy Ringstaff. Thanks for volunteering!

A History Committee was also put in place for the Anniversary year. Those members are Doug Boyd, Alan Skinner, Brian Mason, and Aina Dodge. The committee requests any input from the membership for articles, antidotes, and interesting tidbits that can be placed in the newsletter and/or presented at the spring celebration.

David Brown informed the membership that he had been contacted by the Archeological Conservancy earlier in the fall concerning the purchase of a site in Texas. The Conservancy was trying to purchase the site but was unable to because the Conservancy is not a Texas foundation. They discussed the possibility of funneling money through CTA if they needed a Texas foundation or non-profit organization to make a purchase. Although the Conservancy was eventually able to get the site, this situation may arise again. David then asked if there was any discussion on this situation and whether CTA should even consider it. The question was asked as to why they didn't go to TAS or the Texas Historical Foundation. David replied that it was for expediency sake. It was suggested that CTA talk to a lawyer to make sure that there is no "down side" to taking on this kind of request, being used as a passthrough.

Several other comments and concerns were made during the discussion. Alan Skinner was concerned that as an organization we give money to the Conservancy in support of their actions and role as preservations. But that passing monies through our accounts may be scrutinized and questioned — do we want to take on that kind of role? Jim Bruseth wanted to know how much money was being considered and David indicated about \$20,000. Jim replied that for that amount we would have to file a tax form, but that it was only a paperwork formality. Jim thought that it was worth doing if the issue comes up again. Mike Quigg stated that if the money comes through with a stipulation of the money's use, that that would be all right as well. Jim volunteered to do the tax forms if this request is made again in order to help the cause. David finished the discussion stating that the Executive Board would go ahead if asked again and thanked the membership for the support.

Announcements:

Sue Linder-Linsley announced that the Register for Professional Archaeologists is trying to get more regional publicity. Therefore, between now and November 15th, people can join for a \$20 fee (\$15 discount). She will have the booth set-up in the Book Room and encourages everyone to join.

Steve Black is looking for new content, new ideas for the Teas Beyond History website. The website is an excellent place for the CRM community to make a report public, both new and past projects. The cost to a CRM firm or individual can range from \$500 to \$5000 to produce a site exhibit for the website. Again this is benefit to all and the site exhibit can also be linked to sponsors, firms' websites, and other pertinent organizations. Please contact Steve if you have anything to offer.

Jim Bruseth spoke for the THC saying he did not make the quarterly meeting (was held the day before) but that the collection policy details will be brought to the membership as soon as it was available. David mentioned hat the information would be put on the Web page once available.

Several changes were suggested by Sue Linder-Linsley to the Bylaws, Section 4 as listed on page 6 of the Volume 25, Number 3 (September 2001) newsletter. David commented that the Executive Committee along with Sue Linder-Linsley and Robyn Lyle would come up with better language that could be voted on at the Spring meeting.

Sue also informed the membership that the Web Page Committee was changing its name to the Information Technology Committee. This is due to its many new responsibilities, particularly dealing with the Contractors' List and newsletter publication on the Web page.

Old Business:

Revised Survey Standards: As mentioned earlier by Marianne Marek, the new standards are printed in the newsletter and can be found on the Web page. David stated that feedback is necessary and a final decision made as soon as possible for a one-page guideline. There was much discussion concerning some of the standards, in particularly, the issue of the minimum number of shovel tests and/or backhoe trenches. The most discussion was oriented toward the minimum requirement for backhoe trenches — what number is needed on the one page guideline. The current guideline of 1 trench for 1-3 acres is not a bad minimal recommendation. Stephen Austin noted that 1 trench in 3 acres is not enough in urban projects. David replied that urban situations are different but agreed with Stephen. Bill Martin also agreed with Stephen but noted that additional archival research may help determine the number of trenches needed in an urban area. David stated that THC would like to see a number in the standards for the minimal amount of trenching. Bill followed up with a statement saying that "consultation with THC for the adequate number of trenches on any project where subsurface impacts might impact buried deposits" is really key.

The shovel testing discussion hinged on the fact that costs due to extensive shovel testing would be a major factor in the amount of money a client is willing to spend. As time was running out, this discussion was halted and will be brought up again in the spring with hopes of a final vote at that time.

Lastly, Bill Martin announced that the recent Advisory Council Web page (District 4 update) contained the results of the lawsuit brought forth by the mining and cell tower industries. The Judge upheld all but two motions. He also spoke on whether the regulations were procedural versus substantive issues. The potential implication could be disastrous. Bill will keep us informed as things develop.

As time was running out, no other new business was presented. Mike Quigg moved to adjourn, Dana Anthony seconded and the meeting adjourned at 4:20 pm.

Council of Texas Archeologists 2001 Expenses and Proposed 2002 Budget

2001 Budget 2001 Income/Expenses Proposed (through 26 Oct 01) 2002 Budget **INCOME** 4,125.00 Individual Memberships 2,600.00 3,500.00 100.00 Student Memberships 250.00 65.00 Institution/Library Memberships 25.00 50.00 50.00 Contractor Listing Fees 5,000.00 2,700.00 4,100.00 Money Market Interest 360.00 224.82 225.00 **Guideline Sales** 25.00 0.00 0.00 Spring 2001 Social Donations 425.00 ARC Accredication Applications 400.00 6,439.82 TOTAL INCOME 9,810.00 7,975.00 **GENERAL EXPENDITURES** Antiquities Advisory Board 100.00 0.00 100.00 Newsletter (Printing and Postage) 750.00 0.00 0.00 Contractors List (Printing and Postage) 0.00 0.00 650.00 CTA Guidelines (Printing and Postage) 200.00 0.00 0.00 Membership Brochure 0.00 0.00 200.00 Administrative Costs 200.00 123.56 200.00 Web Page Registration (due in Jan 2002 - \$70) 0.00 0.00 70.00 Spring Meeting/Social Expenses 500.00 893.35 900.00 TOTAL GENERAL EXPENDITURES 2,400.00 1,470.00 1,016.91 **COMMITTEE EXPENDITURES** Accreditation and Review Council 1,200.00 4.37 1,200.00 Governmental Affairs 50.00 0.00 50.00 200.00 Membership 200.00 0.00 Multicultural Relations 50.00 0.00 50.00 **Public Education** 100.00 0.00 100.00 500.00 0.00 500.00 Anti-Looting General Committee Expenses 100.00 0.00 100.00 TOTAL COMMITTEE EXPENDITURES 4.37 2,200.00 2,200.00 **DONATIONS** 500.00 500.00 500.00 Archeological Conservancy 500.00 Scholarship Endowment/Fund 500.00 500.00 1,000.00 1,000.00 Texas Archeology Awareness Month 1,000.00 TAAM Archeology Fairs (divided among 3 Fairs) 600.00 0.00 600.00 TAS Donors Fund 300.00 300.00 300.00 Ceramic Database Development 1,000.00 1,000.00 0.00 2,000.00 Texas Beyond History 2,000.00 **TOTAL DONATIONS** 3,900.00 4,900.00 5,300.00 TOTAL EXPENDITURES 8,500.00 6,321.28 8,570.00 OVERALL BALANCE 1,310.00 118.54 -595.00 **Current Assets** Checking Account (as of 26 October 2001) 8,795.18 Money Market Account (as of 26 October 2001) 8,566.75 Scholarship Endowment Fund (as of 26 October 2001) 5,842.39 **TOTAL** 23,204.32

THE CTA 60 YEARS AGO

Douglas K. Boyd

The Council of Texas Archeologists will soon be 25 years old, but an earlier CTA existed about 60 years ago. It was conceived at an American Association for the Advancement of Science meeting in Alpine in May of 1939, and the became a reality at a hotel in Abilene on October 27, 1939. The official purpose of the original Council of Texas Archeologists was "to integrate and co-ordinate archaeological work being done in the State of Texas," Other stated goals were to increase cooperation "between the various institutions and societies doing work in Texas," create "more uniformity" in field and laboratory work and archeological terminology, and devise "a standard system of classification which would show the relationships of the Texas cultures." Its first officers were J. Gilbert McAllister, president; A. T. Jackson, vice-president; and T. N. Campbell, secretary. Membership in CTA was open to all "persons connected with institutions and societies in Texas actively interested in archeology." Campbell also produced the CTA's first newsletter, called Texas Archaeological News: An Occasional Report Issued by the Council of Texas Archeologists, in March 1940. That first newsletter is reproduced in its entirety elsewhere in this newsletter.

The original CTA was a short-lived organization, lasting only about two years. As with so many other archeological endeavors, the CTA fell victim to World War II. It simply ceased to function after the United States entered the war in late 1941 (T. N. Campbell, personal communication 2001). It would be

some 36 years later before it was resurrected. Only two issues of Texas Archaeological News were produced. The second issue of the newsletter (December 1940) was 26 pages long and devoted to summarizing the "Recent Field Work in Texas." More about that issue will be in the next CTA newsletter.

I hope you enjoy reading the first issue of Texas Archaeological News. It is interesting that many of the issues raised in that newsletter are still concerns in the Texas archeological community in 2001. Three CTA committees were active in 1940: a Site Designation Committee, a Projectile Point Classification Committee, and a Cave Excavation Committee. Only the Site Designation Committee had its report submitted and approved in time for the first newsletter. I don't know what the other committees were up to, but one can easily imagine that the Projectile Point Classification Committee failed to report because its members could not agree on any point types!

Certainly no one can deny that Texas archeology has come a long way in the past 60 years. We have better site recording systems, more detailed site forms, better technologies for extracting and analyzing archeological data, and a better understanding of the nature and formation of prehistoric archeological sites. All of these improvements help us in our ultimate goal of understanding prehistory. Nonetheless, it seems that the CTA of old was not all that different from the CTA that was re-created in 1977.

TEXAS ARCHAEOLOGICAL NEWS

An Occasional Report Issued by The Council of Texas Archaeologists

No. 1 Austin, Texas

March, 1940

ORGANIZATION OF THE COUNCIL OF TEXAS ARCHAEOLOGISTS

For some time archaeologists in the Texas field have felt the need for closer co-operation between the various institutions and societies doing work in Texas. It has become increasingly clear that there should be more uniformity in field and laboratory work, as well as in the terminology used in describing artifacts and cultures. An outstanding need, also long felt, is the use of a standard system of classification which would show the relationships of the Texas cultures.

The first step toward the solution of these and other related problems was taken at a meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Southwestern Division, held at Sul Ross State Teachers College in Alpine, Texas, May 2-5, 1939. The Social Science Section (Victor J. Smith, chairman; J. Charles Kelley, secretary) arranged for an afternoon session to be given over to a conference on archaeological methods, the subject for discussion being "Methods of Cultural Classification and Description." Two papers were read: (1) "The Gladwin System," by E. B. Sayles, and (2) "A Proposed Mechanism for the Correlation and Standardization of Classification in Texas Archaeology," by Erik K. Reed. A third paper, "The McKern System and Related Methods," by Thorne Deuel, arrived too late to be read. A round table discussion, with J. Gilbert McAllister presiding, followed the presentation of these papers.

The discussion at this Alpine conference revolled the need for co-ordinated effort on the part of all workers in Texas archaeology, and those present agreed that something should be done. The meeting was conducted on an informal basis and no organization was set up. However, it was suggested that a committee be named to investigate problems of classification and terminology and that a preliminary report be presented to interested archaeologists during the 1939 meeting of the Texas Archeological and Paleontological Society in Abilene. J. Gilbert McAllister was asked to organize such a committee and serve as its chairman.

Following this suggestion, McAllister named a committee which he tentatively designated "The Council of Texas Archaeologists." Membership was limited to representatives from institutions and societies in Texas actively engaged in archaeological research, and an attempt was made to have each of the areas in Texas represented. Provision was made for an advisory group

2 -

from adjoining states and from institutions elsewhere which have been active in Texas archaeology. The Council included the following individuals: J. Gilbert McAllister, University of Texas, non-voting chairman; Victor J. Smith, Sul Ross State Teachers College (West Texas); W. C. Holden, Texas Technological College (Northwest Texas); Floyd V. Studer, Panhandle-Plains Historical Society (Northwest Texas); Cyrus N. Ray, Texas Archeological and Paleontological Society (Central West Texas); Mrs. Ellen Schulz Quillin, Witte Memorial Museum (South Texas); Frank H. Watt, Central Texas Archeological Society (Central Texas); and A. T. Jackson, University of Texas (East Texas). This committee carried on discussion by correspondence, but no studies of methods and problems were made at that time. It was decided that the chief consideration at the Abilene meeting should be the question of formal organization.

The Council as named by Chairman McAllister met at the Wooten Hotel, Abilene, Texas, on Friday evening, October 27, 1939, to consider the matter of formal organization. All members were present, save Mrs. Quillin, who was represented by J. Walter Davemort. Other interested persons present were T. N. Campbell, William A. Duffen, George R. Fox, Mrs. W. C. Holden, William M. Pearce, Sybil Poteet, O. L. Sims, Joe Ben Wheat, Ernest W. Wilson, and Welty Wright. After lengthy discussion, it was decided that an organization should be set up "to centralize, integrate and correlate" archaeological work being done in Texas. A state council was set up, the membership being the same as that of the original committee, except for the addition of two new members. The new Council of Texas Archaeologists includes the following:

J. Gilbert McAllister, University of Texas, Austin
Victor J. Smith, Sul Ross State Teachers College, Alpine
V. C. Holden, Texas Technological College, Lubbock
Floyd V. Studer, Panhandle-Plains Historical Society, Amarillo
Cyrus N. Ray, Texas Archeological and Paleontological Society, Abilene
Frank H. Watt, Central Texas Archeological Society, Waco
V. S. Strain, El Paso Centennial Museum, El Paso
Ellen Schulz Quillin, Vitte Memorial Museum, San Antonio
A. T. Jackson, University of Texas, Austin
T. M. Campbell, University of Texas, Austin

The officers of the Council were elected at this meeting and consist of J. Gilber McAllister, president; A. T. Jackson, vice-president; and T. N. Campbell, secretary. These officers constitute a central executive committee, with headquarters in Austin, to direct a clearing house of archaeological information in connection with a general archaeological depository, and to publish an occasional news letter, Texas Archaeological News, on archaeological work in Texas. Authority was given the central executive committee to appoint an advisory committee composed of an unlimited number of anthropologists and allied specialists who have done work in Texas and who are interested in Texas problems. To these individuals may be referred special problems for which they are professionally fitted.

3 ·

The Council will hold an annual meeting, which ordinarily will take place in Abilene each year on the evening before the meeting of the Texas Archeological and Paleontological Society; but at such times as the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Southwestern Division, meets in Texas, the Council will convene during that meeting and in the same city. The Council meetings are to be thought of as conferences, and all persons interested in Texas archaeological problems are invited to attend. The Council will not interfere with the functioning of other societies or institutions in Texas, and is to be regarded as a co-operative body embracing all these groups. It is not subsidiary to any other group.

The Texas Archaeological News will be the only publication issued by the Council. The News will not publish papers or lengthy reports; its sole purpose is to acquaint Texas archaeologists with each others! work and to inform other archaeologists of the nature of current work in Texas.

The Council will work largely through committees. In addition to the central executive committee and the general advisory committee, there will be committees for the study of particular problems. Already committees on site designation, projectile point classification, and cave excavation technique have been named. The report of the site designation committee appears in this issue of the News. Other committees will be named and liberal use made of the advisory committee of specialists.

The Council of Texas Archaeologists does not vish to create the impression that it is in any way trying to discourage archaeological work by out-of-state groups. The main purpose of the Council is to put order into a situation which has long been somewhat chaotic. Individuals and groups interested in Texas archaeology are invited to participate in these constructive efforts.

CONSTITUTION AND BY_LAWS OF THE COUNCIL

At the Abilene meeting the central executive committee was instructed to draw up a constitution and set of by-laws for the Council. The opinion was expressed that these documents should not be elaborate, but just complete enough to make the organization formal. On February 12, 1940, the central executive committee submitted a draft of the proposed constitution and by-laws to members of the Council by mail. Approval by the membership became effective on March 8. The constitution and by-laws of the Council read as follows:

Constitution

Article I

Section 1. This organization shall be known as The Council of Texas Archaeologists.

Section 2. The purpose of the Council shall be to integrate and co-ordinate archaeological work being done in the State of Texas.

Article II

Persons connected with institutions or societies in Texas actively interested in archaeology may become members of the Council.

Article III

Section 1. The officers of the Council shall be elected at the annual meeting and shall consist of a president, a vice-president, and a secretary.

Section 2. The president, vice-president and secretary shall constitute a central executive committee.

Article IV

The Council shall meet once a year in Abilene, Texas, on the evening preceding the annual meeting of the Texas Archeological and Paleontological Society; or during the meeting and at the meeting place of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Southwestern Division, when this is held in Texas.

Article V

This constitution may be amended at an annual meeting of the Council by a two-thirds vote of the membership, provided a written notice of intention to amend has been sent to the membership at least thirty days before the annual meeting.

By-Laws

Article I

Section 1. Election to membership on the Council must be preceded by a nomination endorsed by at least two Council members. This nomination must be filed with the secretary at least thirty days before the annual meeting of the Council, at which time election to membership may be declared by the president upon a three-fourths vote of the members present.

Section 2. The Council may remove from the membership roll any member who ceases active participation in Texas archaeology.

Article II

Section 1. A majority of the members of the Council shall constitute a quorum.

Section 2. The central executive committee shall maintain the central office of the Council and shall direct a clearing house of information in connection with a general archaeological depository. The committee shall also publish a news letter on archaeological work being done in Texas.

Section 3. The president, advised by the other members of the central executive committee, shall appoint all committees. Committees may include non-Council members who are especially fitted or prepared for problems to be considered. The president also may appoint special advisory committees composed partially or entirely of non-Council members. Appointive committees shall submit their reports to the central executive committee, which will present them to the Council for approval by mail or at the next annual meeting.

Article III

Section 1. The annual meeting of the Council shall be devoted in part to a basiness meeting, conducted in the usual manner.

Section 2. Conferences on archaeological problems, arranged by the central executive committee and approved by the Council, may be held at the annual meeting.

Article IV

The news letter published by the central executive committee shall be entitled Texas Archaeological News. It shall be devoted to news reports of archaeological work being done in Texas and other items of general interest.

Article Y

These by-laws may be amended by a majority vote of the Council members present at any annual meeting.

COMMITTEES

Thus far only three committees for the study of special problems have been appointed. Only one of these, the site designation committee, has had its report approved by the Council. The membership of this committee is given in the following section, which summarizes the committee report.

A committee on projectile point classification was named on December 14, 1939. Its membership includes T. N. Campbell, chairman, Mrs. Ellen Schulz Quillin, Cyrus N. Ray, Floyd V. Studer, and Joe Ben Wheat.

The third, appointed on February 21, 1940, is the committee on cave excavation technique, consisting of Victor J. Smith, chairman, Frank M. Setzler, and Joe Ben Wheat.

REPORT OF THE SITE DESIGNATION COMMITTEE

The site designation committee was appointed on November 6, 1939, the membership consisting of W. C. Helden, chairman, Victor J. Smith, Frank H. Watt, and A. T. Jackson. Their report was approved by the Council on March 19, 1940. A summary of this report, prepared by A. T. Jackson, is given below.

After long and careful consideration, the site designation committee worked out a system which it feels will adequately care for the recording of archaeological sites in Texas. It was desired to make the plan as simple as consistent with thoroughness.

Vith this in mind, various existing systems were studied. The county-unit plan, which is reported to work well in certain smaller states, was discarded as too cumbersome for Texas with its 254 counties.

The plan finally recommended by the committee, and approved by the Council, is based upon a system of quadrangles. The state is laid out in 81 major quadrangles, each consisting of one degree of latitude and one degree of longitude. These quadrangles are numbered in arabics beginning in the north Panhandle and proceeding from left to right.

Each major quadrangle is divided into four sub-quadrangles, which are numbered from left to right A, B, C, and D, respectively. These divisions are known as sub-quadrangles.

Each sub-quadrangle is divided into nine areas of ten minutes latitude and ten minutes longitude. These divisions, known as "areas," are numbered in arabics from left to right beginning at the top.

The sites within each area are to be numbered in arabics in the order of their discovery.

A typical site number is 48C-9-8 (see page 7). This indicates major quadrangle 48, sub-quadrangle C, area 9 in sub-quadrangle C, and site number 8. The number of the major quadrangle and the letter denoting the number of the sub-quadrangle are joined together without a dash between. This makes it possible to write a site number with three groups of symbols instead of four.

4			9		01
1302	۲۲۱ 5	3	!	2	62. 45
4	60000 43	6	4	5 A2	6
PECOS TERREL 7	E CU. 801	9	7	8	9
C 1	2 /A2	3	プ D 本計	2	3
4	01 d2 /42 04 /42 5 (2)		CROCK	AZ ETT G RDE5 C	o. o. 6
7	8 A3	01 03 d2 d5 06 9 44 d7 48	> A 2	8	3

QUADRANGLE NO. 48, PECOS, CROCKETT, TERRELL AND VAL VERDE COS.

This illustrates how a quadrangle is divided into four sub-quadrangles, and these in turn are divided into nine areas each. The site numbers begin at 1 in each area. For example, 480-9-8 indicates site No. 8 in the 9th area of sub-quadrangle C in quadrangle 48. (1 in. equals 12 mi.)

Page 22

- ☐ Masonry-type House Structure
- A Folsom Site (Association with fossil animal bones)
- | Pictograph
- . Tetroglyph

The designation of a site as a buried village or campsite is not dependent on any specified depth, but rather on the fact that the site is definitely buried. This presupposes that evidences of man—whether in original or secondary deposits—have been buried by sterile strata resulting from stream or wind action.

Caution should be used in designating a site as Folsom. This symbol should be reserved for the few cases where Folsom points are found in direct association with fossil animal bones. This would not include a surface site where a Folsom point was picked up, unless subsequent finds—resulting from erosion or excavation—revealed definite associations.

In those cases where pictographs appear on the wall of a rock shelter, the shelter and pictograph symbols will both be used. Likewise, if petroglyphs are present on boulders in a campsite, the symbols for both will be placed alongside.

The symbols for pictographs and petroglyphs are adapted from "Conventional Signs for Use on Field Maps," in "State and Local Archaeological Surveys," by Clark Wissler, State Historical Society of Iowa, Iowa City, 1923, p. 36. Most of the other symbols are adapted from "Guide Leaflet for Amateur Archaeologists," Reprint and Circular Series of the National Research Council, No. 93, Washington, 1930, p. 6.

In order that individuals who have done a considerable amount of archaeological work in Texas may receive credit for discoveries already made, it was decided that until September 1, 1940, sites should be recorded according to the dates of discovery. After that date sites will be recorded by the central committee according to the dates they are reported. All workers are urged to furnish the central committee with a report covering all known sites before the above-mentioned date. When individuals are pressed for time, they may first submit merely a list of sites and their locations for use in recording on the master map. Detailed information concerning the sties may be sent in at a later date.

All data concerning site locations filed with the central committee, and in the regional depositories, will be regarded as confidential. The records will be made available only to institutions and individuals who have a scientific interest in archaeology and who observe recognized archaeological ethics.

- Masonry-type House Structure
- A Folsom Site (Association with fossil animal bones)
- | Pictograph
- . * Petroglyph

The designation of a site as a buried village or campsite is not dependent on any specified depth, but rather on the fact that the site is definitely buried. This presupposes that evidences of man—whether in original or secondary deposits—have been buried by sterile strata resulting from stream or wind action.

Caution should be used in designating a site as Folsom. This symbol should be reserved for the few cases where Folsom points are found in direct association with fossil animal bones. This would not include a surface site where a Folsom point was picked up, unless subsequent finds—resulting from erosion or excavation—revealed definite associations.

In those cases where pictographs appear on the wall of a rock shelter, the shelter and pictograph symbols will both be used. Likewise, if petroglyphs are present on boulders in a campsite, the symbols for both will be placed alongside.

The symbols for pictographs and petroglyphs are adapted from "Conventional Signs for Use on Field Maps," in "State and Local Archaeological Surveys," by Clark Wissler, State Historical Society of Iowa, Iowa City, 1923, p. 36. Most of the other symbols are adapted from "Guide Leaflet for Amateur Archaeologists," Reprint and Circular Series of the National Research Council, No. 93, Washington, 1930, p. 6.

In order that individuals who have done a considerable amount of archaeological work in Texas may receive credit for discoveries already made, it was decided that until September 1, 1940, sites should be recorded according to the dates of discovery. After that date sites will be recorded by the central committee according to the dates they are reported. All workers are urged to furnish the central committee with a report covering all known sites before the above-mentioned date. When individuals are pressed for time, they may first submit merely a list of sites and their locations for use in recording on the master map. Detailed information concerning the sties may be sent in at a later date.

All data concerning site locations filed with the central committee, and in the regional depositories, will be regarded as confidential. The records will be made available only to institutions and individuals who have a scientific interest in archaeology and who observe recognized archaeological ethics.

. ".	QUADRANGLE		AREA		\$	SITE NO. I		LOCAL NO.			
- • .											
	**					- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
	27,876 - 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
	-115 -115	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
									-11		
		-							· · · · · · · ·		
:								*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· ·	• • •	- 3		**************************************	a a stericalis ar					
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			·						-
											•

Space below for detail sketch map of site

Institutions or individuals who so desire may use quadrangle names, instead of numbers, in their own records; but in all reports to the central committee it is urged that only the quadrangle numbers and symbols indicated above be used.

If any local group within the state wishes to continue a system already in use, it may do so for its own records. But such a group is urged to co-operate with the central committee by reporting all sites in accordance with the state-wide system.

The success of this system depends in part on the maps used. The first requirement is that the latitude and longitude appear on the map. The fact that many parts of Texas have not been mapped by the United States Geological Survey, and that many of the maps are old, need not prevent the general use of the quandrangle system of site designation. Other dependable maps may be secured.

Maps for all Texas counties have been prepared by the Texas State Highway Mapartment in co-operation with the USDA Bureau of Public Roads, based on data obtained from the State-Vide Highway Planning Survey in 1936 and revised in 1938. Maps for all counties on a scale of one inch to one mile may be purchased for \$1.00 each. The same maps on a scale of one inch to two miles are priced at 50¢ each. Both maps may be obtained from the highway department. These maps show roads, streams, latitude and longitude, and certain other desirable features, but do not include data as to elevations.

It is felt that this system can be used to advantage by both amateur and professional archaeologists, and that it will serve as a means of standardizing site designation in Texas.

T. H. Campbell, Secretary Council of Texas Archaeologists Department of Anthropology University of Texas Austin, Texas

ANNOUNCEMENTS EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES

PREWITT AND ASSOCIATES, INC. Austin, Texas

PLEASE COPY AND DISTRIBUTE TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS

To apply, please submit by mail, fax, or e-mail a résumé or curriculum vitae to: Karen M. Gardner, Prewitt and Associates, Inc., 7701 N. Lamar, Suite 104, Austin, Texas 78752, (512) 459-3851 (fax), kgardner@paiarch.com.

POSITION DESCRIPTIONS

Principal Investigator/Project Manager

Prewitt and Associates, Inc., is seeking a qualified archeologist to serve as Principal Investigator/ Project Manager in its office in Austin, Texas. To be considered, applicant must have a graduate degree in Archeology or Anthropology with a focus on prehistoric archeology and at least 5 years of experience as Principal Investigator/Project Manager. Must have a proven ability to manage all aspects of cultural resources investigations, including managing multiple projects simultaneously. Knowledge of federal laws and regulations dealing with cultural resources is a must. Strong writing skills are required. Good communication and organizational skills are essential. Must be able to work under pressure and interact with others as part of a team.

Staff Geoarcheologist

Prewitt and Associates, Inc., is seeking a qualified geoarcheologist to serve as Staff Geoarcheologist in its office in Austin, Texas. To be considered, applicant must have a graduate degree in Archeology, Anthropology, Geology, Geography, or related discipline with formal training in applying the principles of geomorphology, quaternary geology, physical geography, or pedology to interpreting and assessing archeological resources and context. Must demonstrate at least 1 year of experience serving as Geoarcheologist and experience with project planning, execution of field projects, data analysis, and preparation of reports. Applicant must have a strong background and interest in archeology and be capable of supervising field crews in surveys and excavations, analyzing archeological data, and preparing reports on archeological projects. Knowledge of federal laws and regulations dealing with cultural resources is desired. Strong writing skills are required. Good communication and

organizational skills are essential. Must be able to work under pressure and interact with others as part of a team.

Staff Archeologist

Prewitt and Associates, Inc., is seeking a qualified archeologist to serve as Staff Archeologist (Prehistoric) in its office in Austin, Texas. To be considered, applicant must have a graduate degree in Archeology or Anthropology with a focus on prehistoric archeology and at least 1 year (2 preferred) of supervisory experience. Must demonstrate experience with project planning, direct supervision of field crews in survey and excavation projects, analysis of archeological data, and preparation of reports. Knowledge of federal laws and regulations dealing with cultural resources is desired. Strong writing skills are required. Good communication and organizational skills are essential. Must be able to work under pressure and interact with others as part of a team.

Crew Members

Prewitt and Associates, Inc., is seeking qualified archeologists to serve as Crew Members on upcoming survey and excavation projects in Texas. To be considered, applicant must have a bachelor's degree in Archeology, Anthropology, or a related discipline and at least 3 months of field experience. Must be willing to travel and be able to perform physical labor under a variety of conditions. Good communication skills are essential. Must be able to work under pressure and interact with others as part of a team.

Historic Archeologist

Prewitt and Associates, Inc., is seeking a qualified archeologist to serve as Staff Historical Archeologist in its office in Austin, Texas. To be considered, applicant must have a graduate degree in Archeology, Anthropology, or History with a focus on historic archeology and at least 1 year (2 preferred) of experience serving in a supervisory capacity on projects dealing with historic archeological resources. Must demonstrate experience with project planning, direct supervision of field crews in survey and excavation projects, analysis of archeological data and historic material culture, and preparation of reports. Knowledge of federal laws and regulations dealing with cultural resources is desired. Strong writing skills are required. Good communication and organizational skills are essential. Must be able to work under pressure and interact with others as part of a team.

CTA Newsletter

Steve Carpenter
Editor
c/o Texas General Land Office
5204 D Mt. Bonnell Road
Austin, Texas 78731
cta-members@c-tx-arch.org
cta-contractor@c-tx-arch.org

Sue Linder-Linsley, RPA Web Committee, Chair c/o Department of Anthropology Southern Methodist University Dallas, Texas 75275-0336

7	TO:			
	Council of Texas Archeologists Membership and Renewal Form	Return to: Melissa Green, CTA Secretary-Treasurer c/o Geo-Marine, Inc. 550 East 15th Street Plano, TX 75074		
	Address correction only (see below).			
	I wish to join or renew my membership in (membership is based on the calendar year Jan-Dec)	CTA.		
	☐ Contractors List		\$	100.00
	\square Professional (annual income more than \$20,000 per	year)		25.00
	\square Professional (annual income less than \$20,000 per		15.00	
	\square Student (annual income more than \$20,000 per yea		25.00	
	\square Student (annual income less than \$20,000 per year)		15.00	
☐ Institution/Library (receive CTA Newsletter only, no voting privileges)				25.00
	\square I would like to purchase a copy of the <i>CTA Guidelin</i>	ies		7.50
-	Total amount remitted to CTA	\$		
	Name (please print):			
	Company/Institution:			
	Address:			
	City/State/Zip:			
	Phone: FAX:	e-mail·		